UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,397	03/31/2006	Kinya Takagaki	NANP130US	5897	
TUROCY & WATSON, LLP 127 Public Square 57th Floor, Key Tower CLEVELAND, OH 44114			EXAMINER		
			GOON, SCARLETT Y		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/19/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10/574,397	
All Participants: Status of Application: abandoned (1) SCARLETT GOON. (2) Mr. Gregory Turocy. (4) Date of Interview: 10 June 2009 Time: 3:03 pm Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed:	
All Participants: (1) SCARLETT GOON. (2) Mr. Gregory Turocy. (4) Date of Interview: 10 June 2009 Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed:	
(1) SCARLETT GOON. (2) Mr. Gregory Turocy. (4) Date of Interview: 10 June 2009 Time: 3:03 pm Type of Interview:	
(2) Mr. Gregory Turocy. Date of Interview: 10 June 2009 Time: 3:03 pm Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed:	
Date of Interview: 10 June 2009 Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed:	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed:	
☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: . Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed:	
Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed:	
none Claims discussed:	
Prior art documents discussed: none	
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants' attorney, Mr. Turocy, was contacted to determine whether a response has been filed in reply to the Office Action mout on 3 September 2008. Mr. Turocy indicated that the case has been abandoned.	ailed
Part III.	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interdirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substof the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interdid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 	ance
/SCARLETT GOON/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropria	te)